





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,505	07/20/2001	Gilson Woo	4913		
7:	590 02/25/2004	EXAMINER			
GILSON WOO			VENIAMINOV, NIKITA R		
19708 BALAN ROAD ROWLAND HEIGHTS, CA 91748			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				TAFER NUMBER	
			3736		
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Applica	tion No.	plicant(s)				
			505	WOO, GILSON				
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Nikita R	Veniaminov	3736				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enication. days, a reply within the stytory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a relatutory minimum of third will expire SIX (6) MON polication to become AE	reply be timely filed iy (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)[[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 19 December	2003					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	· <u></u>							
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
		nonding in the one	liantion					
	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-12,16,17 and 20-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William William C	onsideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12, 16, 17 and 20-31</u> is/are	rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
, —	Applicant may not request that any objecti							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			· ·	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to t				• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) _l	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority do2. Certified copies of the priority do			amliantiau Nia				
	2. Certified copies of the priority do3. Copies of the certified copies of		·		_			
	application from the International			received in this National Stage	;			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action		` ''	received				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	ΓO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Ir	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/909,505

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on September 08, 2003 and November 15, 2003 have been entered.

Specification

2. The amendment filed on September 08, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: On page 4, lines 5-8; on page 6, lines 8-9; on page 17, line 13 Applicant changed a range of total flux of $30\Phi - 250,000\Phi$ to a range of total flux of $10\Phi - 250,000\Phi$; and added a 90-degree angle of contact of the north pole to the trunk skin. On page 6, line 6; on page 12, lines 14-15 and line 29 Applicant added a 90 degree angle of magnet contact. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,505 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "said" in line 7 should read "each". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-12, 16, 17 and 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopez et al. (US 5720,046). Lopez et al. ('046) teach a method of treating and alleviating afflictions, ailments and diseases holistically by application of magnetism to a plurality of treating regions of the trunk (see abstract, Figures 3-5, 12, 14, 16a-16c and 19a), the method comprising designating a total treating region, wherein said treating region being divided into at least 3 sub-regions (see Figure 1 and column 5, lines 57-65); providing magnet means having at least one north pole surface adapted for application to the plurality of treating regions of the trunk; applying a north pole surface of a magnet to at least one of a plurality of treating regions of the trunk (see Figure 12 and column 8, lines 8-19 and 54-61); contacting the magnets directly to the treating regions of the trunk by using a proper band, wrapper or cover with magnets sewn-in or encased in any shape of form appropriate to fit the treating

•7

regions of the trunk of a person (see abstract and column 8, lines 7-18); the total flux of the magnetic means applied to the at least one of the treating regions of the trunk being in the range from about $30\Phi - 250,000\Phi$, but they do not teach a method of treating and alleviating afflictions, ailments and diseases holistically by application of magnetism to a plurality of treating regions of the trunk of a person being treating comprising maintaining the magnet in contact with at least one of the treating regions of the trunk for a period of 15 to 180 minutes to heal and relieve afflictions; repeating the application of the magnet for at least one additional period of time; repeating the time period for treatment at least once in a 24 hour period in an interval of about 2-10 hours in accordance with treatment process; applying the magnet means to treating regions of the trunk of a person concurrently, wherein a total flux of the magnet means applied to the treating regions of the trunk is substantially equal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to determine through routine experimentation an appropriate time to achieve healing and relieving effects for afflictions, including the time in the range Applicant provides in the claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 16, 17 and 20-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant states that Lopez et al. ('046) do not "teach such method step, like "designating at least three treating regions of the trunk". 1) Division of the trunk

Application/Control Number: 09/909,505

Art Unit: 3736

into 3 treating regions based on the balance concept of meridians. 2) Utilizing separate magnet means for each regions of the trunk. 3) The application of the magnet means only to a portion of the trunk.". However, Examiner states that Applicant does not claim a method of using balance concept of meridians. Further, Lopez et al. ('046) teach a merchandise in the form of torso-worn garments provided with a magnetic structure for affecting therapeutic magnetic exposure when worn by a human user (see column 2, lines 32-37). The incorporated magnetic structure corresponds to at least three designated regions of a trunk (shiatsu meridian lines), and provide even contacting with at least one of the treating regions of the trunk, spaced apart at an equal distance, in horizontal order all the way around the region (see Figures 12-15). With regard to the "balance concept" of the Lopez et al. ('046) teaching, the magnetic exposure is believed to assist stressed cells in restoring their correct balance of electrical charge for performing more efficient healing/therapy (see column 1, lines 44-50). Further, Applicant argues that treating regions of Lopez et al. ('046) are not specifically designated, using the body parts of humanwear as treating regions, which do not produce total healing effect of pain relied for whole body concurrently in 15 minutes to 3 hours; contact magnets to the clothing of T-shirts, brief, pants, gloves, etc., is used for effecting therapeutical magnetic exposure against acupressure points, but no specific effectiveness claimed or disclosed. Examiner states that Lopez et al. ('046) teach therapeutic exposure of the plurality of magnets on selected (body) regions, and the result of such

therapeutic exposure is healing, alleviating afflictions, ailments and diseases. Plurality of magnet means are adapted for application to the plurality of treating regions of the body as shown on Figures 1, 2 and 12-15. Further, Applicant agues about "the concept of the energy balance of the human body", but this concept is not in the claimed subject matter. Examiner further states that Lopez et al. ('046) use magnets of 800 gauss with the size of 0.03"D x 0.05"T to 2.0'D X 0.5"T which provide the total flux of 200 gauss for each magnet, and for the area of the trunk the total flux will be in the range from about 30Φ – 250,000Φ.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikita R Veniaminov whose telephone number is (703) 605-0210. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 A.M.-5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nikita R Veniaminov Examiner

Art Unit 3736

February 09, 2004.

PRICZ WHAKUR